# Decisions of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee

1 April 2015

Members Present:-

Councillor Eva Greenspan (Chairman)

Councillor Jack Cohen
Councillor Arjun Mittra
Councillor Alan Schneiderman

Councillor Melvin Cohen Councillor Jim Tierney

Also in attendance
Graham Robinson – Planning, South Area Team
Katherine Hamilton – HB Public Law
Salar Rida – Governance Service

#### 1. MINUTES OF LAST MEETING

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the previous meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> March 2015 be approved as a correct record.

2. ABSENCE OF MEMBERS (IF ANY)

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor John Marshall.

3. DECLARATION OF MEMBERS' DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND NON PECUNIARY INTERESTS (IF ANY)

None.

4. REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER (IF ANY)

There was none.

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS (IF ANY)

There were none.

6. MEMBERS' ITEMS (IF ANY)

There were none.

7. ADDENDUM TO ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT AND BUILDING CONTROL'S REPORT

## 8. 13-15 STATION ROAD, LONDON, N3 2SB - F/04596/14

The Committee noted the report in conjunction with the information as set out in the addendum to the report. The Planning Officer introduced the report.

Votes were recorded as follows:

| For         | 6 |
|-------------|---|
| Against     | 0 |
| Abstentions | 0 |

The Committee therefore:

**RESOLVED to APPROVE the application** as per the Officer's report and subject to the conditions set out in the report.

### 9. 31A THE PARK LONDON NW11 7ST - 14/08087/FUL

The Committee noted the Officer's report and the information as set out in the addendum to the report.

Votes were recorded as follows:

| For         | 1 |
|-------------|---|
| Against     | 4 |
| Abstentions | 1 |

**RESOLVED to REFUSE the application** (being a reversal of Officer's recommendations) for the following reasons:

#### **REASONS FOR REFUSAL:**

- 1) The proposed development, by reason of its size, bulk, siting, and design would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the street-scene and general locality. The proposals would be contrary to policy CS5 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy 2012 and policy DM01 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies 2012.
- 2) The proposed development would result in the loss of existing on street residents parking bays in order to provide access to off street parking to serve the development. This would be to the detriment of highway and pedestrian safety. This would be contrary to policy CS9 of the Adopted Barnet Core Strategy 2012 and policy DM17 of the Adopted Barnet Development Management Policies 2012

# 10. ANY ITEM(S) THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT

None.

The meeting finished at 7.50pm